



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/828,344	04	4/05/2001	C. Frank Bennett	RTS-0147	1718	
7	590	09/10/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jane Massey			SCHULTZ, JAMES			
Licata & Tyrre 66 East Main S				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Marlton, NJ	08053			1635		
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/828,344	BENNETT ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
,	J. D. Schultz, Ph.D.	1635	<u> </u>					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: 4-10,13 and 15 for reasons of I	record.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,12 and 14 for reasons of record.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment will not be entered because the proposed claim language has been broadened, due to the addition of the term "modified" to the description of the nucleic acid compound of claim 1, among other additions. While the nucleic acid compound as recited in claim 1 had been searched, the proposed claim language drawn to any "modified" nucleic acid had not been searched, because such language is significantly broader and includes sequence modifications, as well as any other possible chemical modification. Entry of the instant amendment would thus require a new search and examination and would accordingly raise new considerations, and its entry is therefore denied.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments in the request for reconsideration are drawn solely to the claims of the proposed amendment. Since the proposed claim amendment has not been entered for reasons provided above, the arguments are not considered relevant to the claims as they currently stand, and are therefore not convincing in overcoming the rejection of record.

JOHN L. LEGUYADER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER